



# Auctions vs Negotiations



# English Auction

- English auction : the price rises continuously until only one bidder remains. At every price all bidders know how many other bidders remain active.
- Auction with a reserve price : the seller makes a final take-it-or-leave-it offer equal to the reserve price to the final bidder, if the final bid is below the reserve price.
- Absolute auction : an auction with no reserve price.

# Simple Problem

- Seller A  
value is 0, has one “serious” potential buyer ( $\geq$  seller’s value)  
whose value is drawn from uniform dist. on  $[0, 1]$ .  
negotiate to offer take-it-or-leave-it price
- Seller B  
value is 0, has two “serious” bidders,  
whose values are drawn from same dist. independently.  
only run an English auction with on reserve.
- Both Parties in both case are risk neutral.

# Simple Problem

- Consider a seller with constant marginal cost of 0.
- linear demand curve  $p = 1 - q$
- Define Revenue as price times quantity
- $MR = 1 - 2q$  which is 0 at quantity of .5

## Expected Revenue (negotiate with one)

- Assume that:  
bidder  $j$  receives a private, independent signal  $t_j$ ,  
distributed with pdf  $f(t_j)$ , cdf  $F(t_j)$ ,  
private value  $v(t_j)$ , quantity  $q(t_j) \equiv 1 - F(t_j)$ .
- $MR(t_j) \equiv \frac{d}{dq(t_j)} v(t_j)q(t_j) = \frac{-1}{f(t_j)} \frac{d}{dt_j} v(t_j)[1 - F(t_j)]$
- Expected revenue : the area under the MR curve for all the values in excess of the take-it-or-leave-it price

## Expected Revenue (negotiate with one)

- At optimal take-it-or-leave-it price (where  $MR = 0$ ), in expectation, the seller may be thought of as the MR of the buyer when it is positive, 0 otherwise.
- Expected revenue is  $E\{\max(MR(t_1), 0)\}$ .

## Expected Revenue (auction with two)

- Assume that the value of the "underbidder" is  $v(t_i)$ , the value of the eventual winner is  $v(t_j)$ .
- In the auction, learn  $v(t_i)$ , which is lower bound of  $v(t_j)$ .
- The seller will earn  $v(t_i)$ , which is expected MR of the winner.
- Averaging over all possible  $v(t_i)$ , expected revenue equals the expected MR of the winner.

## Expected Revenue (auction with two)

- With conventional assumption that MR curve is downward sloping,  
which implies that buyer with the higher value who will actually win the auction has the higher MR.
- Expected revenue is  $E\{\max(MR(t_1), MR(t_2))\}$ .



## Expected Revenue (auction with two)

- $E\{\max(MR(t_1), MR(t_2))\}$  vs  $E\{\max(MR(t_i), 0)\}$ .
- If  $MR(t_1) \geq 0$ , the first expression is larger.
- If  $MR(t_1) < 0$ , use “serious bidder” assumption.  
Since the lowest possible  $v(t_2)$  is 0, expectation of  $MR(t_2)$  is 0.
- The first expression is the expectation of the maximum of two terms, one of which has an expected value of 0.  
Therefore, the first expression is larger.

## Model With Independent Private Values

- Extend to the analysis to compare “a seller with  $N$  symmetric bidders in an auction with reserve price” to “one with  $N+1$  bidders and no reserve price.”
- By same analysis, expected revenue are  $E\{\max(MR(t_1), \dots, MR(t_N), 0)\}$  and  $E\{\max(MR(t_1), \dots, MR(t_{N+1}))\}$
- Since expectation of  $MR(t_{N+1}) = 0$ , the auction with the extra bidder yields a higher expected revenue.

# The General Model

- Let  $t_j$  be bidder  $j$ 's private signal. (no need to be independent)
- WLOG, normalize so that  $0 \leq t_j \leq 1 \forall j$  and seller's value is 0.
- $\mathbf{T}$  represent the vector  $(t_1, \dots, t_{N+1})$ ,  
 $\mathbf{T}_{-j}$  all of the elements of  $\mathbf{T}$  other than  $t_j$   
 $\bar{\mathbf{T}} \equiv \mathbf{T}_{-(N+1)}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{-j}$  similarly.

# The General Model

- $f(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})$  for the conditional density of  $t_j$  given  $\mathbf{T}_{-j}$ ,  
(assume positive and finite for all  $t_j$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{-j}$ )  
 $F(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})$  for the cdf of  $f(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})$ .
- Let  $v_j(\mathbf{T})$  be the value of the asset to bidder  $j$ ,  
 $\bar{v}_j(\bar{\mathbf{T}}) \equiv E_{t_{N+1}}\{v_j(\mathbf{T})\} = \int_0^1 v_j(\mathbf{T})f(t_{N+1}|\bar{\mathbf{T}})dt_{N+1}$  be the expectation of  $v_j(\mathbf{T})$   
conditional on  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ .  
(Note that  $E\{u(X, Y)|x\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, y)f(y|x)dy = E_y\{u(X, Y)\}$ .)
- Higher signals imply higher expected values and signals are affiliated,  
 $\frac{\partial v_j(\mathbf{T})}{\partial t_j} > 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial v_i(\mathbf{T})}{\partial t_j} \geq 0$ , and  $t_j \geq t_i \implies v_j(\mathbf{T}) \geq v_i(\mathbf{T})$  for all  $i, j, \mathbf{T}$
- While signals are private information, functions  $v_j(\mathbf{T})$  and  $f(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})$  are common.

# The General Model

- $MR_j(\mathbf{T}) \equiv \frac{-1}{f(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})} \frac{d}{dt_j} [v_j(\mathbf{T}) [1 - F(t_j|\mathbf{T}_{-j})]]$  and

$$\overline{MR}_j(\overline{\mathbf{T}}) \equiv \frac{-1}{f(t_j|\overline{\mathbf{T}}_{-j})} \frac{d}{dt_j} [v_j(\overline{\mathbf{T}}) [1 - F(t_j|\overline{\mathbf{T}}_{-j})]].$$

- Assumptions

A.1 : Downward-Sloping MR

A.2 : Serious Bidders

A.3 : Symmetry,  $v_i(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_j, \dots) = v_j(t_1, \dots, t_j, \dots, t_i, \dots) \forall i, j, \mathbf{T}$ .

# The General Model

- Lemma 1 : The expected revenue from an absolute English auction with  $N+1$  bidders equals  $E_T\{\max(MR_1(\mathbf{T}), \dots, MR_{N+1}(\mathbf{T}))\}$ .
- Write  $(x, \mathbf{T}_{-j})$  for the vector  $\mathbf{T}$  but with the  $j$ th element replaced by  $x$ .
- Proof.  
If bidder  $j$  has the highest and bidder  $i$  has the second-highest signal, then bidder  $j$  will win the auction at the price  $v_i(t_i, \mathbf{T}_{-j})$  which is equals to  $v_j(t_i, \mathbf{T}_{-j})$  by symmetry.

$$v_j(t_i, \mathbf{T}_{-j}) = E_{t_j}\{MR_j(\mathbf{T}) | t_j \geq t_i, \mathbf{T}_{-j}\}$$

# The General Model

- Lemma 2 : The expected revenue from an English auction with  $N$  risk-neutral bidders followed, after the  $N-1$  low bidders have quit, by an optimally chosen take-it-or-leave-it offer to the remaining bidder, equals  $E_{\bar{T}}\{\max(\overline{MR}_1(\bar{T}), \dots, \overline{MR}_N(\bar{T}), 0)\}$ .
- Write  $(x, \bar{T}_{-j})$  for the vector  $\bar{T}$  but with the  $j$ th element replaced by  $x$ .
- Proof.  
 $i$  leaves at price  $\bar{v}_i(t_i, \bar{T}_{-j})$  equals  $\bar{v}_j(t_i, \bar{T}_{-j})$ . The seller choose a take-it-or-leave-it offer for the last bidder of  $\bar{v}_j(\hat{t}, \bar{T}_{-j})$  where  $\hat{t} \geq t_i$ .  
If  $t_j \geq \hat{t}$ , then the seller will receive  $\bar{v}_j(\hat{t}, \bar{T}_{-j}) = E_{t_j}\{\overline{MR}_j(\bar{T}) | t_j \geq \hat{t}, \bar{T}_{-j}\}$ .  
If  $t_j < \hat{t}$ , then the seller will receive 0.

# The General Model

- Theorem 1 : Expected revenue from an absolute English auction with  $N+1$  bidders exceed expected revenue from an English auctions with  $N$  bidders followed by a take-it-or-leave-it offer to the last remaining bidder if either (i) bidders' values are private; or (ii) bidders' signals are affiliated.



# The General Model

- Consider the cases in which bidder  $j$ , the highest of the first  $N$  bidders, has a positive or negative  $\overline{MR}_j$ . (His value exceeds the optimal reserve price or not.)
- If  $\overline{MR}_j < 0$ , the expectation over  $t_{N+1}$  of  $MR_{N+1}$  equals bidder  $N + 1$ 's lowest possible value, which equals or exceeds 0 by A.2.
- If  $\overline{MR}_j \geq 0$ , affiliation implies that expectation of  $MR_j$  is greater than or equal to the expectation of  $\overline{MR}_j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 v_j(\hat{t}, \mathbf{T}_{-j}) f(t_{N+1} | t_j \geq \hat{t}, \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{-j}) dt_{N+1} \\ & \geq \int_0^1 v_j(\hat{t}, \mathbf{T}_{-j}) f(t_{N+1} | t_j = \hat{t}, \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{-j}) dt_{N+1} \end{aligned}$$