

Bayesian and Randomized Clock Auctions

Michal Feldman Vasilis Gkatzelis Nick Gravin Daniel Schoepflin

Presented by Sicheol Sung

Introduction

Preliminaries

Deterministic Single-Price Auction with Priors

Deterministic Clock Auction with Limited Information

Randomized Prior-Free Clock Auction

Introduction

A *clock auction* is a multi-round mechanism that suggests a personal clock price, that is increasing over rounds, to each buyer. In each round, every buyer chooses either to leave or stay.

The authors construct clock auction mechanisms, which give $O(\log \log k)$ -approximation of maximum expected welfare.

1. Deterministic single-price clock auction under full access to priors
2. Deterministic clock auction under limited access to priors
3. Randomized prior-free clock auction

Basic Notations

- ▶ buyer $i \in N := [1, n] = [n]$
- ▶ i 's private value v_i , $\mathbf{v} := (v_i)_{i \in N}$
- ▶ feasibility constraint $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^N$
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is *downward-closed*: $F \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $F' \subseteq F$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ denotes the collection of maximal feasible sets in \mathcal{F} .

Bayesian Setting, Prior-free Setting

1. Bayesian Setting:

- ▶ each value $v_i \sim D_i$, $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{D} := \times_{i \in N} D_i$
- ▶ the expected social welfare of an auction AUC, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{D}}[\text{AUC}]$
- ▶ $\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{D}}[\max_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{\sum_{i \in F} v_i\}]$ ($= \mathbb{E}[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} v_i]$)

2. Prior-free Setting:

- ▶ \mathbf{v} is chosen adversarially
- ▶ auction is randomized

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. with Full Prior Info.

Theorem

Every downward-closed setting with k maximal sets admits a deterministic *single-price* clock auction that obtains an $O(\log \log k)$ approximation to the expected optimal welfare if the full distribution \mathbf{D} is known.

For the following two auctions, we choose one with the higher expected social welfare:

1. Let $p_i = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then choose $\arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i]$.
2. Choose a $j \in [0, \log(10 \log k + 1)]$ and let $p_i = \Delta \cdot 2^{1-j}$ for all $i \in [n]$, where

$$\Delta = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i > t_S] \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \log k + 1] \right) \right].$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Notations on High-Value Buyers

Note that S denotes the set of the maximal feasible set.

Let us define

$$S(t, \mathbf{v}) := \{i \in S \mid v_i > t\}.$$

Then, the threshold t_S is the value satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} [|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})|] = \log k.$$

Then, $S(t_S, \mathbf{v})$ denotes roughly $\log k$ -top high-value buyers in S .

Lemma

$$\Pr [\exists x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} [|S(x, \mathbf{v})|]] = o(1/k^2).$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Low-High Decomposition

We divide OPT into two components, LOW and HIGH.

$$\text{OPT} = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} v_i \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \right],$$

=:LOW

=:HIGH

where

$$\check{v}_{i,S} := \min\{t_S, v_i\} \text{ and } \hat{v}_{i,S} := v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i > t_S].$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core and High-Tail (1)

Recall HIGH, and define HIGH-CORE.

- ▶ $\text{HIGH} = \mathbb{E} [\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I} [v_i > t_S]]$
- ▶ $\text{HIGH-CORE} := \mathbb{E} [\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I} [|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \log k + 1]]$

Then,

$$\text{HIGH} \leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I} [|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \right]$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core and High-Tail (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{HIGH} &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \underbrace{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot \Pr[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k]}_{=: \text{HIGH-TAIL}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core and High-Tail (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{HIGH} &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \underbrace{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot \Pr[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k]}_{=: \text{HIGH-TAIL}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core and High-Tail (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{HIGH} &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \underbrace{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot \Pr[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k]}_{=: \text{HIGH-TAIL}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core and High-Tail (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{HIGH} &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \log k + 1] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k] \right] \\
 &\leq \text{HIGH-CORE} + \underbrace{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot \Pr[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k]}_{=: \text{HIGH-TAIL}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover High-Tail

Lemma: $\Pr[\exists x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| > 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|]] = o(1/k^2)$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HIGH-TAIL} &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot \Pr[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v}) \setminus \{i\}| > 10 \log k] \\ &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot o(1/k^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first auction, letting $p_i = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, will get the expected social welfare of

$$\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right] \geq \frac{1}{k} \cdot \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \geq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \cdot o(1/k^2).$$

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Low-Core and Low-Tail

Recall LOW, and define LOW-CORE.

- ▶ $\text{LOW} = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \min(v_i, t_S) \right]$
- ▶ $\text{LOW-CORE} :=$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \check{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I} \left[\forall x \in [0, t_S] : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1 \right] \right]$$

We omit details. Similar to the case of HIGH-TAIL,

$$\text{LOW} \leq \text{LOW-CORE} + \text{LOW-TAIL}$$

and LOW-TAIL is covered by the “zero-price auction”.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover Low-Core

1. Note that $\sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} = \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx$.
 2. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbb{I}[\forall x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1]$.
-

$$\text{LOW-CORE} = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} [\check{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v})] \right]$$

$$\text{LOW-CORE} = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \right] \right]$$

$$\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (10 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) + 1) dx \right]$$

$$\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (11 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|)) dx \right]$$

$$\leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) dx \right] \leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right]$$

\implies LOW-CORE is also covered by the zero-price auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover Low-Core

1. Note that $\sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} = \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx$.
 2. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbb{I}[\forall x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1]$.
-

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LOW-CORE} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (10 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) + 1) dx \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (11 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|)) dx \right] \\
 &\leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) dx \right] \leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

\implies LOW-CORE is also covered by the zero-price auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover Low-Core

1. Note that $\sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} = \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx$.
2. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbb{I}[\forall x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LOW-CORE} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (10 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) + 1) dx \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (11 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|)) dx \right] \\
 &\leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) dx \right] \leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

\implies LOW-CORE is also covered by the zero-price auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover Low-Core

1. Note that $\sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} = \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx$.
2. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbb{I}[\forall x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LOW-CORE} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (10 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) + 1) dx \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (11 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|)) dx \right] \\
 &\leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) dx \right] \leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

\implies LOW-CORE is also covered by the zero-price auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

Cover Low-Core

1. Note that $\sum_{i \in S} \check{v}_{i,S} = \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx$.
 2. $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbb{I}[\forall x \in [0, t_S) : |S(x, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[|S(x, \mathbf{v})|] + 1]$.
-

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LOW-CORE} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \int_0^{t_S} |S(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \right] \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (10 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})| + 1)) dx \right] \\
 &\leq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (11 \cdot \mathbb{E}(|S(x, \mathbf{v})|)) dx \right] \\
 &\leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t_S} (|S(x, \mathbf{v})|) dx \right] \leq 11 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i \in S} v_i \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

\implies LOW-CORE is also covered by the zero-price auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core (1)

Lemma

Let m be any positive integer, and let

$$\Delta := \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq m] \right) \right].$$

There exists a uniform price p such that

$$\Delta \leq O(\log m) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i \mid v_i \geq p] \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i \geq p] \right) \right].$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{E} [\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (\sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i \mid v_i \geq p] \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i \geq p])]$
is the expected welfare of price- p clock auction.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

High-Core (2)

Recall the second auction,

- ▶ choose a $j \in [0, \log(10 \log k + 1)]$ and
- ▶ let $p_i = \Delta \cdot 2^{1-j}$ for all $i \in [n]$, where
- ▶ Δ is chosen by letting $m = 10 \log k + 1$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HIGH-CORE} &= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \log k + 1] \right] \\ &= \Delta \leq O(\log \log k) \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{AUC}] \end{aligned}$$

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. with Full Prior Info.

Recall

Theorem

Every downward-closed setting with k maximal sets admits a deterministic *single-price* clock auction that obtains an $O(\log \log k)$ approximation to the expected optimal welfare if the full distribution \mathbf{D} is known.

For the following two auctions, we choose one with the higher expected social welfare:

1. Let $p_i = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$, then choose $\arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i]$.
2. Choose a $j \in [0, \log(10 \log k + 1)]$ and let $p_i = \Delta \cdot 2^{1-j}$ for all $i \in [n]$, where

$$\Delta = \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in S} v_i \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i > t_S] \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq 10 \log k + 1] \right) \right].$$

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. with Limited Prior Info.

Theorem

Every downward-closed setting with k maximal sets admits a *uniform-price* deterministic clock auction that obtains an $O(\log \log k)$ approximation to the expected optimal welfare if $\mathbb{E}[v_i]$ for each $i \in N$ and $OPT = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v}}[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} v_i]$ is known.

1. If $\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i] \geq OPT / \log \log k$, then choose $\arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[v_i]$.
2. Otherwise, increase p until
 - ▶ the active buyers A is feasible, or
 - ▶ there exists some feasible $F \subseteq A$ and $|F| \cdot p \geq g$.

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. with Limited Prior Info.Desired goal g

Lemma

Let m be any positive integer, and let

$$\Delta := \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in S} \hat{v}_{i,S} \cdot \mathbb{I}[|S(t_S, \mathbf{v})| \leq m] \right) \right].$$

There exists *an* $\alpha = O(\log m)$ and a uniform price p such that

$$\Delta \leq \alpha \cdot p \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbb{I}[v_i \geq p] \right] \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta \leq \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i \in N} \mathbb{E}[v \mid v_i \geq p] \cdot \mathbb{I}[v_i \geq p] \right]$$

 Let the goal $g = \text{OPT}/4\alpha$.

Proof for Limited Prior Info.

Sketch of Proof

With a similar argument with the full prior info, the first auction covers LOW + HIGH-TAIL.

Note that the second auction with price p .

- ▶ The set of active buyers A is feasible, or
- ▶ there exists some feasible $F \subseteq A$ and $|F| \cdot p \geq g = \text{OPT}/4\alpha$.

Let $T(\mathbf{v})$ be the largest feasible set of buyers with price p .

1. When $\mathbb{E}[|T(\mathbf{v})|] \geq 8$, with constant probability we serve at least half the expected number of buyers and obtain the $O(\log \log k)$ -approximation from the revenue.
2. When $\mathbb{E}[|T(\mathbf{v})|] < 8$, the expected social welfare is within a constant factor of the single highest value buyer.

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. without Prior Info.

Theorem

Every downward-closed setting with k maximal sets admits a randomized clock auction that obtains an $O(\log \log k)$ -approximation to the expected optimal welfare.

Hedging Auction. With probability $1/2$ run of the following auctions:

1. Water-filling clock auction
2. Sampling clock auction

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. without Prior Info.

Water-Filling Clock Auction

Water-Filling Clock Auction. Let $A \leftarrow N$ and $p_i \leftarrow 0$ for all $i \in N$, and do the following steps while A becomes feasible.

1. Let $W \leftarrow \arg \max_{S \subseteq A} [\sum_{i \in S} p_i]$.
2. Let $\ell \leftarrow \min_{i \in A \setminus W} \{p_i\}$.
3. For each buyer $i \in A \setminus W$ with $p_i = \ell$,
 - 3.1 Increase p_i .
 - 3.2 If i rejects, $A \leftarrow A \setminus \{i\}$.

The above auction obtains welfare at least

$$\max_{p \in P, F \in \mathcal{F}} [p \cdot |i \in F : v_i \geq p|] / 2.$$

This then translates to an $O(\log |S|)$ -approx. for any given set S .

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. without Prior Info.

Sampling clock auction

Sampling Clock Auction.

1. For each buyer i , “sample” the value of i with probability $1/2$.
2. Let $R \leftarrow \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$. Return $R \setminus T$.

$O(\log \log k)$ -Approx. without Prior Info.

Recall

Theorem

*Every downward-closed setting with k maximal sets admits a **randomized** clock auction that obtains an $O(\log \log k)$ -approximation to the expected optimal welfare.*

Hedging Auction. With probability $1/2$ run of the following auctions:

1. Water-filling clock auction
2. Sampling clock auction

Proof for Full Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Small

Let

1. S_{top} denote the $60 \log k$ highest value buyers in S , and
2. $\tau(S)$ denote the threshold (= the smallest value in S_{top}).

Then, the water-filling clock auction gives

$O(\log \log k)$ -approximation to $v(O_{\text{top}})$ where O is an optimal feasible set.

Remains to show that the sampling clock auction obtains an

$O(\log \log k)$ -approximation to $v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})$.

Proof for Full Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (1)

We use the following lemma.

Lemma

When running the sampling auction (T denotes sampled buyers),

$$\Pr[\exists x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \notin [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|] = o(1/k^2).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|] \\ = 1 - o(1/k^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (2)

Recall the water-falling clock auction obtains welfare at least

$$\max_{p \in P, F \in \mathcal{F}} [p \cdot |i \in F : v_i \geq p|] / 2.$$

The auction covers $\text{OPT} = v(O) < 100 \cdot \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.

Thus we can assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.

Let $S^* := \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.

Then the random sampling auction obtains welfare $v(S^* \cap U)$ where $U = N \setminus T$.

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (3)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap T) &\geq v(O \cap T) \geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} |T \cap O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} \frac{1}{9} \cdot |O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})) \\ &\geq \frac{11v(O)}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (3)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap T) &\stackrel{2}{\geq} v(O \cap T) \geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} |T \cap O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} \frac{1}{9} \cdot |O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})) \\ &\geq \frac{11v(O)}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (3)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap T) &\geq v(O \cap T) \geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} |T \cap O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} \frac{1}{9} \cdot |O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})) \\ &\geq \frac{11v(O)}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (3)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap T) &\geq v(O \cap T) \geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} |T \cap O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \\ &\stackrel{4}{\geq} \int_0^{\tau(O)} \frac{1}{9} \cdot |O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})) \\ &\geq \frac{11v(O)}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (3)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

With probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap T) &\geq v(O \cap T) \geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} |T \cap O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tau(O)} \frac{1}{9} \cdot |O(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(O) - v(O_{\text{top}})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \frac{11v(O)}{100} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (4)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$, $v(S^* \cap T) \geq \frac{11v(O)}{100}$ and

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

Again, with probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap U) &\geq \int_0^{\tau(S^*)} |U \cap S^*(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(S^*) - v(S_{\text{top}}^*)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^*) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^* \cap T) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(\frac{11v(O)}{100} - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{v(O)}{900} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (4)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$, $v(S^* \cap T) \geq \frac{11v(O)}{100}$ and

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

Again, with probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap U) &\geq \int_0^{\tau(S^*)} |U \cap S^*(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(S^*) - v(S_{\text{top}}^*)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^*) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^* \cap T) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(\frac{11v(O)}{100} - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{v(O)}{900} \end{aligned}$$

Proof for No Prior Info.

When Sets of High Value are Large (4)

1. We assume $v(O)/100 \geq \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S_{\text{top}})$.
2. $S^* = \arg \max_{S \in \mathcal{S}} v(S \cap T)$.
3. The random sampling auction obtains $v(S^* \cap U)$.
4. With probability $1 - o(1/k)$, $v(S^* \cap T) \geq \frac{11v(O)}{100}$ and

$$\forall S \in \mathcal{S}, \forall x \in [0, \tau(S)] : |T \cap S(x, \mathbf{v})| \in [1/9, 8/9] \cdot |S(x, \mathbf{v})|$$

Again, with probability $1 - o(1/k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(S^* \cap U) &\geq \int_0^{\tau(S^*)} |U \cap S^*(x, \mathbf{v})| dx \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot (v(S^*) - v(S_{\text{top}}^*)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^*) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(v(S^* \cap T) - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \\ &\stackrel{4}{\geq} \frac{1}{9} \cdot \left(\frac{11v(O)}{100} - \frac{v(O)}{100} \right) \geq \frac{v(O)}{900} \end{aligned}$$

Thank you